

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/014,180 | 11/13/2001 | Srinivas Gutta | US 010567 | US 010567 2684 | |
| 24737 | 7590 11/15/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS | | | WONG, LESLIE | | |
| P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIFI | 01 F MANOR, NY 10510 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2167 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/014,180 | GUTTA ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Leslie Wong | 2167 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper rep ch places the applic | ply to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most part of the part of the shortened parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the ISIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriate exithe fee. The appropriate exithe final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or s | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ms. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | tion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely file | d amendment |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | sidered but does NO | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | • |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: None. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app | roved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | |
| 10. Other: | Δ . | · | |
| | Tale & Wassur | Use. | |

Primary Examiner

Leslie Wong Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/014,180

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Bieganski teaches the limitations as claimed. Applicants argue that the applied prior art does not teach or suggest aggregating or adding distances. Examiner submits that the above arguments have been addressed in the Final Office action dated 01 September 2004.